

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

Y

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/743,269	12/23/2003	Kurt Nilsson	033972.549252	4452
7590 06/30/2005			EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP			HENRY, MICHAEL C	
Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1850 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036			1623	,
wasiington, 2	20030		DATE MAILED: 06/30/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,269	NILSSON, KURT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael C. Henry	1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-29</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 10-29 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	etion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050613			

Application/Control Number: 10/743,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 1623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The following office action is a response to the preliminary amendment filed, 03/22/05. This preliminary amendment was entered in eDAN after the Non-Final Office Action, which contains an examination of the claims of the preliminary amendment dated 12/23/03, was mailed. Consequently the Non-Final Office Action (dated 04/06/05) is withdrawn.

1. The responsive is contained herein below.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 10-19, drawn to a filtration material comprising: a saccharide coupled to a spacer; and matrix coupled to the spacer ......, classified in class 536, subclass 4.1+, 123.1.
  - II. Claims 20-25, drawn to a filtration material comprising: a saccharide coupled to a spacer; and matrix coupled to the spacer, wherein the spacer comprises a saccharide, peptide, a protein, an aliphatic compound, ......, classified in class 536, subclass 4.1+, 123.1, class 530, subclasses 300+, 350+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of being used together and in invention II the

Art Unit: 1623

composition of material is different to that on invention I. For example, the chemical moieties of spacer of the composition of invention I is different to that of the spacer of invention II.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. That is, the process of filtering can be performed on numerous substances (products). For example, US 5935940 A discloses a method of filtering a saccharide from solution (col. 14, lines 25-30).

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and functions.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is different and presents a burden, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Claims 20-25 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising for example the filtering material wherein the spacer comprises a saccharide, a peptide or a protein disclosed in claim 20. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Claims 26-29 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising for example the substance

Application/Control Number: 10/743,269

Art Unit: 1623

comprising an antibody, a protein, a toxin, a virus, a bacteria, a pathogen, or a cell, disclosed in

claim 27. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even

though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

A telephone call was made to Brett Nelson on 6/13/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Henry whose telephone number is 571-272-0652. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm; Mon-Fri. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Art Unit: 1623

MCH

June 13, 2005.

Primary Framiner